Common representation of pain and negative emotion in the midbrain periaqueductal gray
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Human neuroimaging offers a powerful way to connect animal and human research on emotion, with profound implications for psychological science. However, the gulf between animal and human studies remains a formidable obstacle: human studies typically focus on the cortex and a few subcortical regions such as the amygdala, whereas deeper structures such as the brainstem periaqueductal gray (PAG) play a key role in animal models. Here, we directly assessed the role of PAG in human affect by interleaving in a single fMRI session two conditions known to elicit strong emotional responses—physical pain and negative image viewing. Negative affect and PAG activity increased in both conditions. We next examined eight independent data sets, half featuring pain stimulation and half negative image viewing. In sum, these data sets comprised 198 additional participants. We found increased activity in PAG in all eight studies. Taken together, these findings suggest PAG is a key component of human affective responses.

Keywords: periaqueductal gray; emotion; affect; pain; fMRI

INTRODUCTION

Historically, much of what we know about mind, brain and behavior has come from electrophysiological and lesion methods in animals. In the last 15 years, fMRI has emerged as a non-invasive, human counterpart to these traditional approaches. This development greatly enhances the potential for animal and human research to directly inform one another, as homologies across species can be established based on similarities in brain function. Such work is crucial for understanding human brain function, as fMRI can provide only correlational measures of neural activity. Causal inference requires invasive and disruptive methods. While such methods are commonly used in animal research, in humans they are limited and rare.

However, at present there exists a substantial gulf between human and animal work on affective processes, because human and animal studies focus largely on different brain structures. Human fMRI studies have focused primarily on the cerebral cortex and structures such as the amygdala, whereas animal models of emotion focus on deeper subcortical structures, often describing pathways connecting the brainstem to the periphery. Although there is some overlap in basal telecephalic structures such as the amygdala (LeDoux, 2007) and ventral striatum (Cardinal et al., 2002), key players in animal models of emotion, including the midbrain periaqueductal gray (PAG; Bandler and Shipley, 1994; Bebhanehi, 1995; Pankepp, 1998), hypothalamus (Sewards and Sewards, 2003), and other brainstem nuclei (Alcaro et al., 2007), have been largely absent from models of emotion based on human neuroimaging.

One possible explanation for this discrepancy is that it reflects a true difference between species in the neural bases of emotion. A second possibility is that the human neuroimaging techniques lack sensitivity to reliably detect changes in small, ventral brain regions like those that are prominent in the animal literature. However, while the cortex and amygdala clearly play important roles in affective processes, a recent meta-analysis of human neuroimaging studies of emotion questioned their centrality to emotional experience, finding amygdala activations most reliably reflect salience detection and emotion perception, while rostral anterior cingulate and anterior insula participate extensively in cognitive processes likely unrelated to emotion (Wager et al., 2008a). Furthermore, meta-analytic evidence suggests that human neuroimaging studies do indeed reliably detect emotion-related activity in the brainstem and hypothalamus (Kober et al., 2008; Wager et al., 2008a).

Taken together, previous research suggests that the neural architecture of human emotion may more closely resemble that observed in animal research, and regions such as the brainstem and hypothalamus can be reliably imaged using standard neuroimaging techniques.

In the present research, we chose to focus on the midbrain PAG, an area thought to be central in driving emotional experience and physiology in non-human animals, particularly in response to threat (Bandler and Carrive, 1988; Cesario et al., 2008), as part of the motivational drive for hunting and foraging (Sukikara et al., 2010) and during sexual and maternal behaviors (Salzberg et al., 2002). Across these diverse affective and motivational circumstances, PAG may serve to flexibly coordinate the common and distinct brain regions needed to implement an appropriate set of behavioral, physiological, and experiential responses (Bandler and Shipley, 1994; Bebhanehi, 1995; Pankepp, 1998).

In spite of considerable animal literature suggesting PAG involvement in affective and motivational processes beyond nociception, the human neuroimaging literature on emotion seldom has discussed PAG (for exceptions, see: Damasio et al., 2000; Mobbs et al.,...
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Methods

Participants

The initial study included 16 participants [five women; ages 18–45 years, M (s.d.) = 31.75 (5.18)].

Procedure

A standard nociceptive calibration was performed to determine temperature levels needed to evoke similar levels of pain for each participant (Buhle and Wager, 2010).

The task consisted of five functional runs consisting of 24 trials each, for a total of 120 trials. Each trial began with a temporally jittered white fixation cross (4, 5, 6, 7 or 8 s), followed by a 6 s image presentation or thermal stimulation. The images presented consisted of 30 negative and 30 neutral images from the International Affective Picture System (Lang et al., 2008). The thermal stimuli consisted of 30 high and 30 low pain stimulations. During thermal stimulations, a yellow cross appeared on the display. A second temporally jittered white fixation cross (4, 5, 6 or 7 s) followed the stimulus. After this variable interval, the trial concluded with a 4 s continuous rating scale, during which participants used a trackball to indicate how negative they felt about the stimulus, with 0 indicating 'not at all negative' and 8 indicating 'very negative'.

Noxious heat and image stimuli alternated throughout the task, but the level of each stimulus (low/neutral or high/negative) varied randomly. This experiment also featured a cued manipulation of psychological mindset, whereby participants were asked to adopt a reactive mental stance to half the stimuli, and an accepting mental stance to the remaining stimuli. This manipulation is not of interest to the present question and there was no interaction of stimulus level and mindset for either pain or negative images within the PAG, so in all subsequent analyses reported here we collapsed across the two mindsets.

The effects of mindset on other brain regions will be reported in a separate paper.

Image acquisition parameters

Participants were scanned in a 1.5 Tesla General Electric Signa Twin Speed Excite HD scanner. Functional images were acquired with a T2*-weighted EPI BOLD ascending interleaved sequence with a TR of 2000 ms, TE of 34 ms, flip angle of 90°, 64 × 64 in-plane matrix, field of view of 22.4 cm, 28 4.5-mm thick slices, yielding a voxel size of 3.5 × 3.5 × 4.5 mm.

Image processing

Standard preprocessing in SPM5 (http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/software/spm5) included: slice scan-time correction; realignment and motion correction; anatomical-functional coregistration; normalization to MNI space using Unified Segmentation (Ashburner and Friston, 2005) and resampling to 3 mm3 voxels; and smoothing with a 6-mm Gaussian kernel.

fMRI analyses

fMRI analyses used custom MATLAB software implemented in NeuroElff (http://neuroelf.net/). First-level general linear model analyses used robust regression, with motion parameters and a 400 s high-pass filter as additional regressors of no interest. Next, a whole-brain, second-level, random effects analysis was performed with robust regression (Wager et al., 2005). All results were thresholded at P < 0.05, Familywise Error Rate corrected for cluster extent within gray matter (P < 0.001 and k = 12 contiguous voxels, calculated using NeuroElff's instantiation of AlphaSim (Forman et al., 1995)). An interaction analysis of Pain and Negative Image Viewing identified areas in which the difference was significantly larger or smaller between the Hot and Warm conditions than between the Negative and Neutral conditions. However, it is important to note that the Hot and Negative responses were not necessarily equally potent with respect to their control conditions, so the presence or absence of an interaction would not be conclusive. A conjunction null analysis identified areas of overlap between Pain and Negative Image Viewing within the PAG (Nichols et al., 2005).

Contrast time courses

To confirm that the standard hemodynamic response function (HRF) used in the main analysis fit was appropriate, a deconvolution (finite-impulse-response) regression model was computed to estimate the average, systematic deviation of BOLD response in each TR following the stimulus onset for each of the two contrasts of interest in the area of PAG overlap. The design matrices thus contained one regressor (independent variable) per condition and TR. Given the fact that stimuli were non-TR-locked, BOLD time courses from the area of overlap were first up-sampled to a 0.5 s resolution using cubic spline interpolation. The resulting regression weights were then resampled to a 0.1 s resolution for display purposes. Analysis with the original data yielded similar but less smooth results.

Analysis of independent data sets

To confirm the reliability of PAG involvement in Pain and Negative Image Viewing, we additionally examined fMRI data from eight previously conducted experiments (Wager et al., 2008b; Atlas et al., 2010; McRae et al., 2010; Kross et al., 2011; McRae et al., 2012). Four of
these additional data sets (Studies 1–4) featured Pain [high > low thermal pain] contrasts, while the other four featured Negative Image Viewing [negative > neutral image] contrasts (Studies 5–6). These data sets varied in a number of ways, including sample and stimulus characteristics, and collection site. None of the contrasts analyzed manipulated or manipulated psychological mindset. In Study 1, participants were simply asked to think about the sensations they experienced. In Study 2, valid cues (high vs low) preceded each trial. In Studies 5–6, participants were asked to look at the images and respond naturally. In Study 1, and in Studies 5–8, participants rated negative affect after each trial. In Studies 2–4, participants rated pain after each trial. Additional Information about each data set is summarized in Table 1. One-tailed, independent-sample t-tests were performed on the average extracted contrast values in the PAG overlap region of interest (ROI) identified in the initial experiment.

For purposes of visualization, whole-brain contrast maps were also created using the same threshold as in initial experiment (P < 0.001 uncorrected and k = 12, P < 0.05 cluster extent-corrected in the initial experiment). For Study 4, this combination of height and extent thresholds did not reveal any activity within the PAG. A second simulation indicated that a corrected threshold of P < 0.05 would be obtained through the combination of an uncorrected threshold of P < 0.005 and a cluster threshold of k = 85 3 × 3 × 3 mm3 voxels. This corrected threshold revealed activity in the PAG and was thus used to display results for Study 4.

RESULTS

Initial experiment

In-scan ratings of negative effect

Participants reported greater negative affect for the aversive/high stimuli than for the neutral/low stimuli, F(1,60) = 81.99, MSE = 129.58, P < 0.001, but similar negative affect for the image and pain stimuli, F(1,60) = 0.03, MSE = 0.04, P = 0.87 (Figure 1). There was no interaction between stimulus type and affective intensity, F(1,60) = 2.60, MSE = 4.11, P = 0.11.

fMRI

Whole-brain contrasts of both high vs low pain and negative vs neutral image viewing revealed activity in PAG (Figure 2). No interaction of Pain and Negative Image viewing was found in or near the PAG, indicating that the magnitude of the contrast activity did not reliably differ between the conditions. A conjunction null analysis of these contrasts within the PAG mask delineated an area of overlap (coordinates = −3, −30, −15; k = 17; Figure 2). Other regions involved in both conditions included right inferior parietal lobe, right inferior frontal gyrus, right amygdala, and bilateral cuneus (Figure 3 and Table 2).

Contrast time courses

Deconvolution (finite-impulse-response) regression time course plots for both the Pain and Negative Image Viewing contrasts showed typical hemodynamic response characteristics, including a significant, positive peak and an expected delay from onset (Figure 4). The plots reveal an apparent difference in peak latency, with the Pain contrast peak occurring subsequent to that of Negative Image Viewing. This difference in time-to-peak is consistent with differences in the inherent nature of the heat and pictorial stimuli. That is, while images are rapidly processed, the heat stimuli required a ramp up time of ~2 s to reach peak temperature, and peak pain would occur still later, due to temporal summation. Panels B and C depict the slow different plausible stimulus models overlaid on the time courses for Pain and Negative Image Viewing, respectively. For the Pain contrast, visual inspection suggests that a model based on a typical pain rating trajectory (based on unpublished data collected in our lab) provides a better fit that a 6-s duration, onset only, or offset only model. For the Negative Image Viewing contrast, visual inspection suggests that model based on a 3-s duration stimulus duration provides a better fit than a 6-s duration, onset only, or offset only model.

Independent data sets

After identifying the PAG overlap region in the present experiment, we performed one-tailed t-tests on the average contrast values in each of eight independent experiments. These tests revealed greater activity in response to high vs low pain in Study 1, t(39) = 3.52, P < 0.001, Study 2, t(17) = 4.36, P < 0.0005, Study 3, t(19) = 2.27, P < 0.02, and Study 4, t(19) = 4.06, P < 0.0005, and to negative vs neutral images in Study 5, t(37) = 4.42, P < 0.00005, Study 6, t(29) = 3.69, P < 0.001, Study 7, t(13) = 2.99, P < 0.01, and Study 8, t(17) = 2.39, P < 0.02 (Figure 5). Additionally, in each of the eight independent data sets, whole-brain contrasts revealed activity in or very close to the PAG (Figure 6).

DISCUSSION

Both human and animal research show that PAG is involved in pain processing, but the animal literature describes a broader role for PAG in emotional behavior that is seldom acknowledged in the human literature. In the initial experiment, we found that two strongly aversive conditions—physical pain and viewing negative images—increased both negative affect and PAG activity, supporting a broad role for PAG in human negative affect. A conjunction analysis revealed an area of overlap between the two conditions within PAG, and deconvolution (finite-impulse-response) plots of the time courses showed a plausible, positive shape for each contrast. To confirm these results, we examined activity in PAG in eight independent data sets, four of which featured thermal pain and four of which featured negative image appraisal. In each independent data set, whole brain-analyses identified activity clusters in PAG, and ROI analyses using the area of overlap identified

Table 1 Summary information on eight independent data sets analyzed to test the reliability of the findings from the present study that both Pain and Negative Image Viewing activate the PAG

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Modality</th>
<th>N (female)</th>
<th>Age, mean (s.d.)</th>
<th>Stimulus duration, (s)</th>
<th>Number of stimuli</th>
<th>Collection site</th>
<th>Scanner and sequence</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>40 (21)</td>
<td>20.78 (2.59)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Columbia</td>
<td>1.5 T GE, Spiral I/O</td>
<td>Kross et al., 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>18 (9)</td>
<td>25.5 (5.8)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Columbia</td>
<td>1.5 T GE, Spiral I/O</td>
<td>Atlas et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>20 (8)</td>
<td>28.8 (7.5)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Columbia</td>
<td>1.5 T GE, Spiral I/O</td>
<td>L.Y. Atlas et al., manuscript under review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>20 (10)</td>
<td>22.05 (3.48)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Columbia</td>
<td>1.5 T GE, Spiral I/O</td>
<td>L.Y. Atlas et al., manuscript under review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Images</td>
<td>38 (21)</td>
<td>16.47 (3.82)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Stanford</td>
<td>3.0 T GE, Spiral I/O</td>
<td>McRae et al., 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Images</td>
<td>30 (18)</td>
<td>21.97 (4.56)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>Columbia</td>
<td>1.5 T GE, EPI</td>
<td>Wager et al., 2008b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Images</td>
<td>14 (8)</td>
<td>35.43 (10.96)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>Stanford</td>
<td>1.5 T GE, Spiral I/O</td>
<td>K.N. Ochner et al., Unpublished</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Images</td>
<td>18 (18)</td>
<td>24.4 (3.5)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Stanford</td>
<td>1.5 T GE, Spiral I/O</td>
<td>McRae et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
in the initial experiment showed greater activity related to pain and negative image viewing. Taken together, these results support the hypothesis that PAG plays an important role in human negative affect, in line with previous evidence from research in animals (Bandler and Shipley, 1994; Behbehani, 1995; Panksepp, 1998). Furthermore, our findings indicate that PAG responds not only to inherently aversive, primary reinforcers such as pain, but to negative emotional experiences that require from conceptual, social, or memory-guided interpretations. More broadly, the present study suggests that human research on the neural substrates of emotion should broaden its purview from the current primary focus on the cerebral cortex and structures such as the amygdala, placing greater emphasis on deeper subcortical and brainstem structures.

One possible concern in fMRI analyses is that contrast differences can be found even when the actual response shapes do not correspond to known hemodynamic behavior. For example, data...
artifacts may cause spikes in the BOLD response, leading to inflated statistical values. To ensure that the results of the present experiment were not influenced by such artifacts, we examined deconvolution (finite-impulse-response) plots of the average time course for each contrast in the area of overlap. As seen in Figure 4, the shape of each time course was positive and consistent with the canonical HRF. Notably, the peak of the pain time course appears to be delayed relative to that associated with image viewing. In most cases, participants would likely be able to comprehend the content of the images used here within the first second following stimulus onset, and emotional reaction would quickly follow. In contrast, the heat stimuli required a ramp time of ~2 s to reach the target temperature, and, due to temporal summation, maximum subjective pain occurs at the end of the stimulation period. Thus, these peak differences provide additional assurance that these responses are veridical, and suggest that PAG activity approximately tracks reported pain and potentially experienced negative affect during picture viewing (though affective chronometry was not directly assessed).

Another possible concern in fMRI analyses is whether sequential processes are adequately distinguished. For example, in the present study, relief might reliably follow stimulus offset, potentially confounding stimulus-related activity. Although a strong test of this possibility would require modeling stimulus offset in the context of a design that varied stimulus duration, we explored this possibility in the present data by overlaying the observed time courses with plausible convolved HRFs for both the Pain and Negative Image Viewing contrasts (Figure 4B and C, respectively). For Negative Image Viewing, visual inspection suggests that model based on a 3-s stimulus duration provides a better fit than a 6-s duration, onset only, or offset only model. Thus, the present data do not suggest that relief drives BOLD activity in the PAG. Although the actual stimulus duration was 6 s, it is likely that affective processing declines after the initial few seconds of image viewing. For the Pain contrast, visual inspection suggests that a model based on a typical pain rating trajectory (based on unpublished data collected in our lab) provides a better fit that a 6-s duration, onset only, or offset only model. Although the present data do not suggest that relief drives PAG activity, future work should systematically test for this possibility by varying pain duration and modeling pain offset.

Table 2: Overlap of Pain and Negative Image Viewing in initial experiment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Peak coordinate</th>
<th>Cluster size</th>
<th>Peak t-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inferior parietal lobe</td>
<td>63, -18, 36</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>6.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior frontal gyrus</td>
<td>45, 39, 6</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amygdala</td>
<td>24, -6, -15</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAG</td>
<td>-6, -30, -15</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuneus</td>
<td>15, -72, 3</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>4.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuneus</td>
<td>-6, -78, 3</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Peak coordinates given in MNI space (X, Y, Z).

Fig. 4 (A) Deconvolution (finite-impulse-response) random-effects plots of the time courses of the Pain (red) and Negative Image Viewing (green) contrasts in area of PAG overlap identified in the initial experiment (see conjunction analysis in Figure 2). Both time courses show typical HRF characteristics. Semi-transparent bands around the plotted curves represent standard error across subjects. (B) Convolved HRF fits laid over Pain time course. Visual inspection suggests that a model based on a typical pain rating trajectory (based on unpublished data collected in our lab) provides a better fit that a 6-s duration, onset only, or offset only models. (C) Convolved HRF fits laid over Negative Image Viewing time course. Visual inspection suggests that assuming a 3-s stimulus duration provides a better fit than a 6-s duration, onset only, or offset only models. Although the actual stimulus duration was 6 s, it is likely that affective processing declines after the initial few seconds of observation. In all plots, dark bars in lower left corner represent stimulus duration.
An inherent limitation of neuroimaging is that it provides only correlational data of brain function. While the present data demonstrates that PAG is active during the two aversive conditions of Pain and Negative Image Viewing, we can only speculate at what underlying processes this activity represents. Future fMRI research can help constrain our speculation about these underlying processes by further testing the specificity of the PAG response. For example, it will be important for future work to provide additional tests of the hypothesis that PAG activity is generally involved in negative emotional processing by examining the response to other aversive stimuli, and also to test alternate hypotheses that PAG is involved in both positive and negative emotional processes. Thus far, several studies have reported PAG activity in response to a number of negative emotional conditions, including listening to unpleasant sounds (Zald and Pardo, 2002), social rejection (Eisenberger et al., 2007) and threat and fear (Mobbs et al., 2007, 2009; Mobbs et al., 2010). Additionally, a few studies have reported PAG activity in response to positive emotion stimuli, including pleasant music (Blood and Zatorre, 2001), positive words (Maddock et al., 2003), and images of one’s baby (Noriuchi et al., 2008). Taken together, these findings suggest that PAG may be involved in both positive and negative emotional processes, raising the possibility that the specific underlying functions of PAG may not be exclusively emotional processes, but instead (or in addition) may involve non-emotional cognitive processes related to attention or salience, or non-cognitive physiological functions (Linnman et al., 2012).

However, additional neuroimaging can only constrain the problem space by providing additional correlation data. Only disruptive methods allow one to conclude that an area is causally involved in a behavior or experience. Such methods are common in animal research, and have led too much of our current understanding of PAG behavior. However, animals cannot directly report on their experience. Although quite rare in humans, a small number of studies have documented reports of subjective experience following direct stimulation of PAG. An early study found that PAG stimulation induced diffuse pain, the urge to urinate, and, in one participant, fear so unpleasant that she would not tolerate additional stimulation (Nashold et al., 1969). More recent studies have reported nausea, fright and piloerection (Hosobuchi, 1987); distress, anxiety and weeping (Tasker, 1982); and feelings of apprehension and ‘impending doom’ (Young et al., 1985). The diversity of negative affective responses to PAG stimulation is striking, and provides converging evidence that PAG plays a causal role in negative affect.

Extensive animal research indicates that distinct subregions of PAG subserve specific nociceptive and affective processes. In general,
the dorsolateral and lateral PAG have been associated with active emo-
tional coping strategies, including fight-or-flight responses and hyper-
tension, while the ventrolateral PAG has been associated with
passive coping strategies, including reduced reactivity and hypotension
(Bandler and Shipley, 1994; Behbehani, 1995), although some have
challenged the specificity of these divisions (Heinricher and Ingram,
2008). Unfortunately, the spatial resolution of the current data pre-
cludes such fine-grained analysis. Looking across the results of the
current experiment and the eight independent data sets, it is clear
that the peak of activity appears to vary within PAG. This apparent
variability likely reflects the spatial resolution of the data, as well as
differences in structure-function overlap in the participants and the
preprocessing and first-level analysis methods used. In fact, a recent
meta-analysis found nearly identical mean coordinates for emotion-
and pain-related peaks in PAG (Linnman et al., 2012). Thus, while we
can conclude that PAG responds to both pain and negative images, at
present we must remain agnostic regarding the precise locations of
these responses. Intriguingly, in the majority of the contrasts the
peaks appear to fall in the ventral portion of PAG, rather than the
dorsolateral and lateral portions that have been more closely associated
with aversive behavior. Future work in humans could use high-field
scanners (Linnman et al., 2012), spatially optimized acquisition proto-
cols (Napadow et al., 2009), brainstem-specific methods for normal-
ization (Napadow et al., 2006) and removal of cardiac-related
distortions (Guimaraes et al., 1998; Napadow et al., 2008), in order
to obtain PAG data with greater spatial resolution, so that more
fine-grained comparison can be made between the response to negative
affective stimuli in human PAG and the subregions delineated in
animal research. Given the rich animal literature on emotional pro-
cessing in other brainstem structures, such methods would also be
important for establishing additional homologies between animal
and human models.

Although our primary goal in this study was to examine the
response of PAG to physical pain and negative images, the conjunction
analysis revealed a number of other regions involved in both condi-
tions, including right inferior parietal lobe, right inferior frontal gyrus,
right amygdala and bilateral cuneus (Table 2). The amygdala is well
known for its involvement in the response to salient, emotional stimuli
(LeDoux, 2007; Sergerie et al., 2008) and reciprocal projections link it
with PAG (Rizvi et al., 1991; An et al., 1998; Pereira et al., 2010).
Inferior frontal gyrus is thought to play either a specific role in inhibi-
tory control (Aron et al., 2004), or possibly a more general role in
attentional control and the response to salient and behaviorally rele-
vant stimuli (Hampshire et al., 2010). The inferior parietal activation
occurred in an area that is typically associated with movement, but in
the present study participants did not make responses until after the
stimulus period, and responses were made with the right hand, which
should have resulted in contralateral activity. However, this region is
adjacent to secondary somatosensory cortex, an area that has been
associated both with pain experience, and with the observation or
imaging of pain in one's self and others (Jackson et al., 2006;
Budell et al., 2010; Kross et al., 2011). Many of the negative images
we used depicted people in physical pain or otherwise suffering, pos-
sibly accounting for our observation of this region during negative
image viewing. Cuneus activity is most often associated with visual
processing, and increased attention to emotional images may have
increased visual processing for the negative compared to the neutral
images. Interestingly, cuneus activity also been linked to the experience
of thirst (Egan et al., 2003; Farrell et al., 2006) and to the affective
dimension of pain (Fullbright et al., 2001; Matharu et al., 2004), sug-
gesting a role in non-visual aversive processing akin to what we observed.

CONCLUSION
To our knowledge, this study provides the first within-subject con-
firmation of a shared role for PAG in pain and non-pain-related nega-
tive emotion in humans. Moreover, we replicated these results in eight
independent data sets comprising 198 additional participants. Viewed
alongside the extensive animal literature, these findings suggest that
PAG function in affect is conserved across species, and that human
PAG may be a core region in the generation of negative emotion.
Future work in humans should build on these findings, using high-resolution methods to compare PAG response to different types
of affective stimuli, and to explore other functional homologies sug-
gested by the rich animal literature on emotional processing in deeper
subcortical and brainstem structure.
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